## Exhibit 3

## REDACTED

Picard v. Merkin

**CONFIDENTIAL** Noreen Harrington 10-1-13

1

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

In Re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Adv.Pro.No. 08-01789 (BRL)

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv.Pro.No. 09-1182 (BRL)

**v**.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL, L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

\*\*\*CONFIDENTIAL\*\*\*

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of NOREEN HARRINGTON, as taken by and before NANCY C. BENDISH, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR and Notary Public of the States of New York and New Jersey, at the offices of Baker Hostetler, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York on Tuesday, October 1, 2013, commencing at 10:10 a.m.

Noreen Harrington 10-1-13

|        |  | 2 |
|--------|--|---|
| 1      | APPEARANCES:                             |   |
| 2      |  |   |
|        | BAKER & HOSTETLER, LLP                   |   |
| 3      | 45 Rockefeller Plaza                     |   |
|        | New York, New York 10111                 |   |
| 4      | BY: REGINA L. GRIFFIN, ESQ.              |   |
| _      | STACEY A. BELL, ESQ.                     |   |
| 5      | NEXUS U. SEA, ESQ.                       |   |
| _      | For Irving H. Picard, Trustee            |   |
| 6<br>7 | for the Liquidation of BLMIS             |   |
| ,      | DECHEDE IID                              |   |
| 8      | DECHERT, LLP 1095 Avenue of the Americas |   |
| Ū      | New York, New York 10036-6797            |   |
| 9      | BY: NEIL A. STEINER, ESQ.                |   |
|        | MARIEL BRONEN, ESQ.                      |   |
| 10     | For Gabriel Capital Corp.                |   |
|        | and J. Ezra Merkin                       |   |
| 11     | and o. Beld McIRII                       |   |
| 12     | REED SMITH LLP                           |   |
|        | 599 Lexington Avenue                     |   |
| 13     | New York, New York 10022                 |   |
|        | BY: JOHN L. SCOTT, ESQ.                  |   |
| 14     | For Gabriel, LP and Ariel Fund, LP       |   |
| 15     | ·  |   |
|        | NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT                    |   |
| 16     | 666 Fifth Avenue                         |   |
|        | New York, New York 10103                 |   |
| 17     | BY: DAVID I. BARRACK, ESQ.               |   |
|        | For the Receiver for Ascot Partners      |   |
| 18     |  |   |
| 19     | DLA PIPER US, LLP                        |   |
|        | 1251 Avenue of the Americas              |   |
| 20     | New York, New York 10020                 |   |
|        | BY: KEARA M. GORDON, ESQ.                |   |
| 21     | For the Witness, Noreen Harrington       |   |
| 22     |  |   |
| 23     | ALSO PRESENT:                            |   |
| ∠3     | TUNN HODDIG Wide Tradesista              |   |
| 24     | JUAN TORRES, Video Technician            |   |
| 25     |  |   |
|        |  |   |

Noreen Harrington 10-1-13

|          |    | 11:   | 9 |
|----------|----|---|---|
| 01:59:55 | 1  | A   |   |
| 01:59:57 | 2  | Q. Nobody else?                                     |   |
| 01:59:58 | 3  | A. No, just the three of us.                        |   |
| 02:00:00 | 4  | Q. And did you tell Mr. Merkin why you              |   |
| 02:00:09 | 5  | had requested the meeting?                          |   |
| 02:00:14 | 6  | A. We told Mr. Merkin that we had a new             |   |
| 02:00:16 | 7  | fund that we were going to be launching, and we had |   |
| 02:00:20 | 8  | to do a review of a review of his funds. And I      |   |
| 02:00:28 | 9  | think also told him that since I had never sat      |   |
| 02:00:32 | 10 | down with him, it was important that I had a better |   |
| 02:00:35 | 11 | understanding of his management of the funds.       |   |
| 02:00:45 | 12 | Q. Did you do any preparation for your              |   |
| 02:00:48 | 13 | meeting with Mr. Merkin?                            |   |
| 02:00:49 | 14 | A. I did.   |   |
| 02:00:50 | 15 | Q. And what did you do to prepare for               |   |
| 02:00:52 | 16 | your meeting with Mr. Merkin?                       |   |
| 02:00:53 | 17 | A. I looked at all the documentation                |   |
| 02:00:55 | 18 | that we had on file. That would include attribution |   |
| 02:00:59 | 19 | letters, subscription documents. It would include   |   |
| 02:01:07 | 20 | pitchbook, the returns that he had gotten to date,  |   |
| 02:01:12 | 21 | his CV is in there, any notes that had from         |   |
| 02:01:21 | 22 | previous meetings would have all been in the file   |   |
| 02:01:24 | 23 | that I would have looked at. And I had looked at    |   |
| 02:01:26 | 24 | that file prior to this June meeting, anyway.       |   |
| 02:01:34 | 25 | Q. And how did you get information about            |   |

02:15:22 1

02:15:26

02:15:31

02:15:35

02:15:38

02:15:42 6

02:15:44 7

02:15:46 8

02:15:50 9

02:15:53 10

02:15:56 11

02:16:01 12

02:16:05 13

02:16:09 14

02:16:13 15

02:16:18 16

02:16:19 17

02:16:22 18

02:16:25 19

02:16:36 20

02:16:39 21

02:16:43 22

02:16:46 23

02:16:49 24

02:16:50 25

5

130

| about | your | process? |
|-------|------|----------|
|       |      |          |

A. It was a fairly rote conversation I had with every single money manager, similar to them getting to know -- us getting to know their funds. It was imperative, we felt, that they would know who we were, as well.

And so what I said to every manager, including Ezra Merkin, was that we have a process that we deploy. And this is very similar to what I said our due diligence process was this morning, which is we have multiple meetings with managers, we sit with the junior people, we look at trade tickets, we — we ask a whole bunch of questions regarding the management of the money, the liquidity, the decision-making, all the particulars I ran through earlier.

And then we would circle back to Ezra

Merkin when our process was complete so that he

could answer any of our remaining questions and -
and then there would be an investment meeting at

and a decision would be taken as to

whether we were going to invest in the fund and how

much.

Q. And do you have a general recollection of what Mr. Merkin's response was when

131 02:16:52 1 you described your process to him? 02:16:55 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. 02:16:55 Q. You can answer. 02:16:58 On this I have a specific Α. 02:17:00 5 recollection. Mr. Merkin told me that this was a 02:17:07 privilege. And first, before he told me it was a 02:17:13 privilege, he clearly stated that I didn't get it. 02:17:17 8 And he stated that sentence again adding a "really," 02:17:26 9 you really don't get it, do you? And after saying 02:17:28 10 that twice, he said that this was a privilege, you 02:17:31 11 don't get to ask questions. And to that I 02:17:36 12 responded: You don't get it. I have an -- I have 02:17:39 13 an obligation to my investors to ask these 02:17:43 14 questions. And might I add, Mr. Merkin, so do you. 02:17:47 15 Shortly after that, the meeting ended. 02:17:55 16 Do you recall whether or not you Q. 02:17:58 17 discussed conducting or meeting with Mr. Madoff with 02:18:02 18 Mr. Merkin? 02:18:10 19 Could you ask the question again? Α. 02:18:12 20 Q. Sure. 02:18:13 21 Do you recall whether or not you 02:18:15 22 discussed with Mr. Merkin the possibility about 02:18:18 23 meeting with Mr. Madoff? 02:18:21 24 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. 02:18:26 25 My recollection might be that -- I Α.

02:20:01 21

02:20:05 22

02:20:09 23

02:20:13 24

02:20:17 25

And as a

132 02:18:31 1 wouldn't say it the way you just asked it. What I 02:18:35 2 would say is that my due diligence had to go through 02:18:39 him to Madoff or anyone else that he was giving 02:18:43 money to. 02:18:43 Did you say that to Mr. Merkin at Q. 02:18:46 that meeting? 02:18:47 7 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. 02:18:50 8 I -- my recollection is I did, and Α. 02:18:53 9 that actually would have been prior to the comment 02:18:55 10 about the privilege. 02:19:25 11 Was it a significant fact to you that Q. 02:19:29 12 Merkin's funds gave money to Madoff to manage? 02:19:33 13 Objection to form. MR. STEINER: 02:19:39 14 Α. Would you mind just -- you asked if 02:19:41 15 it was a significant fact? 02:19:43 16 Was it important to you, was it an Q. 02:19:45 17 important fact to learn that Merkin was giving his 02:19:51 18 funds' money to Madoff to manage? 02:19:55 19 MR. STEINER: Objection to form. 02:19:57 20 Α. In my opinion, it's a show stopper.

the investments and the decision-making in a fund

fiduciary, I -- it was imperative that we understand

And I would just say that without doing -- it's

our investors that we have transparency.

another layer of lack of transparency, and we tell

02:20:23 1

02:20:26

02:20:40

02:20:41

02:20:55

02:20:59

02:21:06

02:21:08 8

02:21:16 9

02:21:20 10

02:21:22 11

02:21:24 12

02:21:29 13

02:21:30 14

02:21:32 15

02:21:35 16

02:21:42 17

02:21:47 18

02:21:58 19

02:22:00 20

02:22:03 21

02:22:07 22

02:22:09 23

02:22:11 24

02:22:13 25

133

and subsequent funds if they give it to somebody else.

- Q. Do you recall whether or not you discussed Merkin's infrastructure at his funds?
- Α. We were there, so with our own eyes we could see his operation and -- but we did explain to him that part of our due diligence process would be looking at the operations, the infrastructure, those kind of -- besides the investment side, we look at that side as well.
- And why would you look at the Q. infrastructure as part of your due diligence process?
- You know, we're looking to see how Α. robust it is. He obviously has a strategy that would deploy computers, technology. We look at the personnel closely to see their pedigree. We look at -- you know, we're -- we're going to look at -we look at every aspect of the business basically. We look at the business side of it, we look at the operational side of it and we look at the trading or money management side of it. So it's -- it's part of our process, all of it.
- Q. And what did you observe about Merkin's infrastructure?

Noreen Harrington 10-1-13

|          |    | 213  |
|----------|----|--|
| 04:44:49 | 1  | the concerns that you raised in the investment       |
| 04:44:52 | 2  | committee meeting, you did not raise to Mr. Merkin,  |
| 04:44:57 | 3  | correct?   |
| 04:44:57 | 4  | MS. GRIFFIN: Objection.                              |
| 04:44:58 | 5  | MS. GORDON: Objection.                               |
| 04:45:01 | 6  | A. Would you ask it again?                           |
| 04:45:02 | 7  | Q. The concerns that you've talked about             |
| 04:45:04 | 8  | that you raised in the investment committee meeting, |
| 04:45:08 | 9  | right, which developed after your meeting with       |
| 04:45:12 | 10 | Mr. Merkin and prior to the investment committee     |
| 04:45:15 | 11 | meeting, you, Noreen Harrington, never raised any of |
| 04:45:20 | 12 | those concerns to Mr. Merkin, correct?               |
| 04:45:23 | 13 | A. Wrong.  |
| 04:45:23 | 14 | MS. GRIFFIN: Objection.                              |
| 04:45:23 | 15 | MS. GORDON: Objection.                               |
| 04:45:25 | 16 | A. That's actually wrong.                            |
| 04:45:25 | 17 | Q. Well, when after the meeting with                 |
| 04:45:28 | 18 | Mr. Merkin did you ever speak to him again?          |
| 04:45:31 | 19 | A. I raised the issues of transparency               |
| 04:45:35 | 20 | in the meeting, okay. I raised the issues of giving  |
| 04:45:41 | 21 | money to a third party in the meeting, okay. I told  |
| 04:45:47 | 22 | him for us to do this investment we had to do our    |
| 04:45:50 | 23 | due diligence, and I laid out a process, okay. His   |
| 04:45:54 | 24 | response to me is this is a privilege, I don't get   |
| 04:45:57 | 25 | to ask questions.                                    |

214 04:46:00 1 You can almost forget all the work 04:46:02 2 that we did, you can almost forget it, okay, because 04:46:05 we -- in my opinion as a fiduciary, we had 04:46:14 non-transparency, we had a feeder and we had a 04:46:19 5 process which was truncated at the end of one 04:46:22 6 meeting. 04:46:24 7 So, therefore, I probably didn't even 04:46:27 8 need to do any work. I did work to try and 04:46:30 9 substantiate the accusation in the end that I 04:46:39 10 levered. 04:46:41 11 The accusations that you leveled you Q. 04:46:45 12 did not raise with Mr. Merkin, correct? 04:46:50 13 MS. GORDON: Object to form. 04:46:51 14 MS. GRIFFIN: Objection. 04:46:54 15 Α. I did not -- I did not tell 04:46:56 16 Mr. Merkin in the meeting that I suspected 04:46:59 17 front-running, and I did not tell Mr. Merkin in the 04:47:03 18 meeting that I believed the returns were fiction. 04:47:09 19 Now, if we could -- I just want to Q. 04:47:16 20 ask one or two other topics. 04:47:26 21 You -- did | ever 04:47:29 22 invest with Canary? 04:47:30 23 Α. No. 04:47:31 24 Q. Did you consider an investment on 04:47:34 25 behalf of with Canary?